Spacetime atoms and extrinsic curvature of equi-geodesic surfaces

Alessandro Pesci*
INFN-Bologna, Via Irnerio 46, I-40126 Bologna, Italy

A recently-introduced function ρ of spacetime event P expressing spacetime as made of 'spacetime atoms' of quantum origin is considered. Using its defining relation, we provide an exact expression for ρ involving the van Vleck biscalar, and show it can be recast in terms of the extrinsic curvature of suitable equi-geodesic surfaces centered at P. Moreover, looking at the role ρ plays in the statistical description of spacetime, we point out that this quantity should actually be understood as counting the quantum states of the collection of spacetime atoms rather than counting directly the spacetime atoms themselves (or the degrees of freedom associated to them), and would correspond to the ratio of the number of quantum states 'at P' for an assigned spacetime configuration to the number of quantum states for flat spacetime.

PACS numbers:

arXiv:1511.08665v3 [gr-qc] 20 May 2016

In [1–4], a statistical description of spacetime in terms of a function of the number density of 'spacetime atoms' has been introduced. The context in which this notion arises, is a description of any D-dimensional spacetime, first given in [5], in terms of a sort of effective 'metric' q_{ab} (quasimetric, qmetric), which conforms to the geodesic structure of the manifold and provides a squared geodesic distance between two events which asymptotically coincides with that given by the usual metric g_{ab} when the events are 'far apart', but gives $\pm L^2$ (depending the sign on the spacelike or timelike nature of the displacement) in the coincidence limit, being L a constant having the meaning of a minimal length.

The discreteness of spacetime at event P based on minimal length L, is meant to be captured by a function $\rho_L = \rho_L(P, n^a)$, related to the number density of 'atoms of spacetime' at P in the direction given by any unit D-dimensional spacelike vector n^a, defined through consideration of the analytic continuation of coordinate time to Euclidean time at P.

In [1–4], it is noticed that ρ_L, defined in more detail as we are going to describe in a moment, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_L(P, n^a) &= 1 - \frac{1}{6} L^2 \, R_{ab} \, n^a n^b \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{6} L^2 E \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

(where R_{ab} is the Ricci tensor of spacetime and $E := R_{ab} \, n^a n^b$ does depend on n^a), at least as far as $L^2 E \ll 1$. From this, choosing

$$L = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \, L_{Pl} =: L_M \tag{2}$$

(L_{Pl} is the Planck length) to match the macroscopic description of gravity [1–4], one gets

$$\rho(P, n^a) = 1 - \frac{1}{8\pi} L_{Pl}^2 E, \tag{3}$$

where $\rho := \rho_{L_M}$. Our aim here, is to investigate a possibly exact expression for ρ, giving of course equation (3) in the suitable limit, envisaged already in [1] itself, and try to elaborate on the interpretation of ρ.

Let us consider a D-dimensional spacetime M with metric g_{ab}. Given two events $P, p \in M$ (one, P, which we regard as fixed, ('base' point), and the other, p, as running, ('field' point)) sufficiently close to admit a unique geodesic connecting them, we denote with $\sigma^2(p, P)$ the squared geodesic distance between them based on g_{ab}. Along with g_{ab}, we have the qmetric q_{ab} stemming from requiring that, using it in place of g_{ab}, i) the squared geodesic distance gets modified into $\sigma^2 \to S_L(\sigma^2)$, with $S_0(\sigma^2) = \sigma^2$, $S_L(0^\pm) = \epsilon L^2$, and $S'_L(0)$ finite ($0^\pm$ distinguishes between σ^2 approaching 0 along spacelike or timelike geodesics, and $\epsilon = 1$ for spacelike geodesics and -1 for timelike ones; the prime denotes differentiation with respect to the argument, i.e. σ^2); ii) the kernel $G(\sigma^2)$ of the d'Alembertian gets modified to $G_q(\sigma^2) = G(S_L(\sigma^2))$ in all maximally symmetric spacetimes [6].

*Electronic address: pesci@bo.infn.it

Let us recall how f is constructed. The expression for q_{ab} is [6]

$$q_{ab} = Ag_{ab} + \epsilon\Big(\frac{1}{\alpha} - A\Big)t_a t_b, \tag{4}$$

with

$$A = \frac{S_L}{\sigma^2}\Big(\frac{\Delta}{\Delta_S}\Big)^{\frac{2}{D-1}} \tag{5}$$

and

$$\alpha = \frac{S_L}{\sigma^2 (S_L')^2}, \tag{6}$$

where t^a is the tangent vector to the geodesic with $g_{ab}t^a t^b = \epsilon$,

$$\Delta = \Delta(p,P) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{g(p)g(P)}}\det\Big[-\nabla_a^{(p)}\nabla_b^{(P)}\frac{1}{2}y(p,P)\Big] \tag{7}$$

with $y = \sigma^2$ is the van Vleck determinant or biscalar [7, 8], and Δ_s is this same expression evaluated at $y = S_L$.

The Euclidean continuation of metric (4) at P, is

$$q^E_{ab} = Ag^E_{ab} + \Big(\frac{1}{\alpha} - A\Big)n_a n_b, \tag{8}$$

with g^E_{ab} the Euclidean continuation of g_{ab} and n^a unit spacelike (this can be most easily done going to a local Lorentz frame at P and applying the considerations of [5], App. A).

Here, the functions A and α are given by the expressions (5) and (6) with $\sigma^2(p,P) = \sigma^2_E(p,P)$, being σ^2_E the (non-negative) squared geodesic distance according to the metric g^E_{ab}, and with g_{ab} replaced by g^E_{ab} in the square root and ∇ replaced by ∇^E in the expression of the van Vleck determinant. But, since $g_E = -g$ and $\det[\nabla_a^{(p)E}\nabla_b^{(P)E}\sigma^2_E] = \det[\nabla_a^{(p)}\nabla_b^{(P)}\sigma^2]$, this amounts to say that A and α are given simply by expressions (5) and (6) with $\sigma^2(p,P) = \sigma^2_E(p,P) \geq 0$. Around P, what we are left with is thus a Riemannian manifold N with metric g^E_{ab} and a corresponding qmetric q^E_{ab}, with A, α, Δ, Δ_S coinciding with those defined at the same points in M, points for which $\sigma^2 = \sigma^2_E \geq 0$.

In N we consider equi-geodesic surfaces $\Sigma(P,\sigma_E) = \Sigma(P,\sigma)$, with $\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma^2}$, given by the points p with $\sigma_E(p,P)$ fixed, and choose coordinates around P [1–3, 9] for which the line element is written as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_E &= d\sigma^2_E + h^E_{ij}dx^i dx^j \\ &= d\sigma^2 + h^E_{ij}dx^i dx^j \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

with $i,j = 1,..,D-1$, $\sigma^2 \geq 0$, h^E_{ij} the induced metric on $\Sigma(P,\sigma_E)$ and x^i coordinates on $\Sigma(P,\sigma_E)$. This can be written in terms of the van Vleck determinant as

$$ds^2_E = d\sigma^2 + \sigma^2\Delta(p,P)^{-\frac{2}{D-1}}\chi_{ij}d\alpha^i d\alpha^j, \tag{10}$$

with α_i angular coordinates on the unit $(D-1)$-sphere and χ_{ij} independent of σ^2.

Finding the line element for the qmetric, amounts to replacing σ^2 here with S_L:

$$\begin{aligned} \big[ds^2_E\big]_q &= \Big(d\sqrt{S_L}\Big)^2 + S_L\Delta_S(p,P)^{-\frac{2}{D-1}}\chi_{ij}d\alpha^i d\alpha^j \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha}d\sigma^2 + Ah^E_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

using (5-6) and (9-10) in last equality. This is equation (13) of [9] for S_L generic. We see

$$\left[h^E_{ab}\right]_q = A h^E_{ab} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\left[\sqrt{h_E}\right]_q = A^{\frac{D-1}{2}}\sqrt{h_E} \tag{13}$$

(cf. [10]).

In [1–3], it is shown that in the limit $\sigma^2 \to 0$ this equation has, at lowest orders in L, the $(D = 4)$ form

$$\left[\sqrt{h_E}\right]_q = L^3\Big(1 - \frac{1}{6}L^2 E(P)\Big)\sqrt{\chi}. \tag{14}$$

From this, if we define, following [1–4], ρ_L as the $(D-1)$-volume (finite) measure of $\Sigma(P, \sigma_E)$ according to the qmetric in the limit $\sigma^2_E = \sigma^2 \to 0$ per unit of what would be the same limiting qmetric-measure for flat spacetime, i.e.

$$\rho_L := \frac{\lim_{\sigma^2\to 0}\left[\sqrt{h_E}\right]_q}{L^{D-1}\sqrt{\chi}}. \tag{15}$$

we have that ρ_L can indeed be written as in equation (1).

Here, we search for the exact expression for ρ_L when this is defined as in (15). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\sqrt{h_E}\right]_q &= A^{\frac{D-1}{2}}\sqrt{h_E} \\
&= A^{\frac{D-1}{2}}\sqrt{g_E} \\
&= \Big[\frac{S_L}{\sigma^2}\Big(\frac{\Delta}{\Delta_S}\Big)^{\frac{2}{D-1}}\Big]^{\frac{D-1}{2}}\sqrt{g_E} \\
&= \Big(\frac{S_L}{\sigma^2}\Big)^{\frac{D-1}{2}}\frac{\Delta}{\Delta_S}\,\sigma^{D-1}[1 + O(\sigma^2)]\sqrt{\chi} \\
&= S_L^{\frac{D-1}{2}}\frac{\Delta}{\Delta_S}[1 + O(\sigma^2)]\sqrt{\chi},
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where we have used relation (9) in the 2nd equality, expression (5) in the 3rd, and the standard expression for the area of equi-geodetic surfaces (with effects of curvature) in the 4th. Then,

$$\lim_{\sigma^2\to 0}\left[\sqrt{h_E}\right]_q = L^{D-1}\frac{1}{\Delta_L}\sqrt{\chi}, \tag{17}$$

with

$$\Delta_L = \Delta_L(P, n^a) = \big(\Delta\big)_{y=L^2}, \tag{18}$$

where n^a is the unit tangent vector at P to the geodesic connecting P to p in N. From (15), this means

$$\rho_L(P, n^a) = \frac{1}{\Delta_L(P, n^a)} \tag{19}$$

and, with position (2),

$$\rho(P, n^a) = \frac{1}{\Delta_{L_M}(P, n^a)}. \tag{20}$$

This is an exact formula for ρ in terms of the van Vleck determinant (along what envisaged in [1]), with the latter given by expression (7) evaluated at $\sigma = L_M$ in the direction n^a. If we take the expansion for Δ [7]

$$\Delta(p,P) = 1 + \frac{1}{6}\ \sigma(p,P)^2\ E(P) + o(\sigma(p,P)^2\ E(P)) \tag{21}$$

and evaluate it at $\sigma = L_M$, in the limit $\frac{1}{6}L_M^2 E(P) = \frac{1}{8\pi}L_{Pl}^2 E(P) \ll 1$ we get for ρ the expression (3).

Δ can be expressed in closed form in terms of the extrinsic curvature K of the surface Σ. In fact, from the relation [6]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\ln\Delta\ =\ \frac{D-1}{\sigma} - K(P,\sigma), \tag{22}$$

with $K(P,\sigma)$ the extrinsic curvature of the surface $\Sigma(P,\sigma)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\ln\Delta\ &=\ \int_0^\sigma \Big[\frac{D-1}{\tilde\sigma} - K(P,\tilde\sigma)\Big]d\tilde\sigma + C\\ &=\ \int_0^\sigma \Big[K^{flat}(P,\tilde\sigma) - K(P,\tilde\sigma)\Big]d\tilde\sigma\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where the integrand is necessarily finite in the limit $\tilde\sigma \to 0$ (in fact, it goes to 0 [10]), and the integration constant C must be 0 in view of $\Delta(0) = 1$ [7, 8]. Also, as in [10], we explicitly use of that $\frac{D-1}{\sigma}$ is the extrinsic curvature $K^{flat}(P,\sigma)$ of $\Sigma(P,\sigma)$ in flat spacetime. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\ &=\ e^{\int_0^\sigma [K^{flat}(P,\tilde\sigma) - K(P,\tilde\sigma)]d\tilde\sigma}\\ &=\ e^{\mu(P,\sigma)},\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where $\mu(P,\sigma)$ denotes the integral in the exponent.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_L(P,n^a)\ &=\ \big(\Delta\big)_{\sigma=L}\\ &=\ e^{\mu_L(P,n^a)},\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where μ_L is μ evaluated at $\sigma = L$ in the direction of the unit vector n^a tangent in P to the geodesic connecting P to p, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_L(P,n^a)\ &=\ \mu(P,L)\\ &=\ \int_{\gamma(P,n^a;L)}(K^{flat} - K),\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $\gamma(P,n^a;L)$ is this geodesic segment of proper length L and $(K^{flat} - K)$ is the 1-form $[K^{flat}(P,\sigma) - K(P,\sigma)]d\sigma$. And, in term of this,

$$\rho_L(P,n^a) = e^{-\mu_L(P,n^a)}, \tag{27}$$

and

$$\rho(P,n^a) = e^{-\mu_{L_M}(P,n^a)}. \tag{28}$$

This is again an exact formula for ρ. It is equation (20) expressed in terms of extrinsic curvature.

Since [10]

$$K^{flat}(P,\sigma) - K(P,\sigma) = \frac{\sigma}{3}\ E(P) + o(\sigma\ E(P)), \tag{29}$$

equation (26) gives

$$\mu_{L_M}(P, n^a) = \frac{1}{8\pi} L_{Pl}^2 E(P) + o(L_{Pl}^2 E(P)). \tag{30}$$

Using this, the expression e^S given in [4] (for Einstein-Hilbert gravity) for the total number of states of matter and quantum spacetime in a D-dimensional region $\mathcal{R}$ of spacetime associated to matter of energy momentum tensor T_{ab} can be recast as

$$\begin{aligned} e^S\ &\propto \prod_n \prod_x\ \rho\ \rho_m \\ &= \prod_n \prod_x\ \rho\ e^{L_{Pl}^4 T_{ab} n^a n^b} \\ &= \prod_n \prod_x\ e^{-\mu_{L_M} + L_{Pl}^4 T_{ab} n^a n^b} \\ &\approx \prod_n \prod_x\ e^{-\frac{1}{8\pi} L_{Pl}^2 E + L_{Pl}^4 T_{ab} n^a n^b}, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where the last step is in the limit $L_{Pl}^2 E \ll 1$. Here the products extend to all subsystems, labelled by pairs (n, x), in which the phase space generated by the vectors n_a and the coordinates x^a is assumed to be subdivided; the phase space is constructed with n_a of constant norm, and each subsystem is $(D-1) \times (D-1)$ -dimensional. The meaning of $\rho_m = e^{L_P^4 T_{ab} n^a n^b}$ is that the quantity $\prod_n \prod_x \rho_m = e^{S_m}$, i.e. $\rho_m(n, x)$ is the expansion factor $\Delta\Gamma_m(n, x)$ of the number of states of subsystem (n, x) due to matter degrees of freedom.

Formula (31) presupposes statistical independence among subsystems, and assigns to $\rho\rho_m$ the meaning of something proportional to the statistical weight of the macroscopic state (of subsystem (n, x)), i.e. proportional to the number of microscopic states corresponding to the assigned macroscopic configuration (cf. e.g. [11]). Since ρ as defined by (15) (with (2)) is a ratio of the assigned configuration to flat spacetime, it has a natural interpretation as ratio of the expansion factor of the number of quantum states due to spacetime degrees of freedom for the assigned geometric configuration to the expansion factor due to spacetime degrees of freedom for flat spacetime, or, what is the same, as ratio of total number of states in the subsystem for the assigned geometric configuration to the total number of states for flat spacetime:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(n, x)\ &=\ \frac{\Delta\Gamma(n, x)}{\Delta\Gamma_{flat}(n, x)} \\ &=\ \frac{\Delta\Gamma(n, x)\ \Delta\Gamma_m(n, x)}{\Delta\Gamma_{flat}(n, x)\ \Delta\Gamma_m(n, x)} \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

with obvious meaning of the notation. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} e^S\ &=\ \prod_n \prod_x\ \Delta\Gamma(n, x)\ \Delta\Gamma_m(n, x) \\ &=\ \prod_n \prod_x\ \Delta\Gamma_{flat}(n, x)\ \Delta\Gamma_m(n, x)\ \rho(n, x) \\ &=\ N \prod_n \prod_x\ \rho(n, x)\ \rho_m(n, x), \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

with $N = \prod_n \prod_x \Delta\Gamma_{flat}(n, x)$.

We can write this also as

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^S &= \prod_n \prod_x \Delta\Gamma_{flat}(n,x)\ \rho(n,x)\ \Delta\Gamma_m(n,x) \\
&= e^{S_{flat}} \prod_n \prod_x \rho(n,x) \\
&= e^{S_{flat} + \int dn^a dx^i \ln\rho},
\end{aligned}
\tag{34}
$$

or

$$
S = S_{flat} + \int dn^a dx^i \ln\rho, \tag{35}
$$

where $\prod_n \prod_x \Delta\Gamma_{flat}(n,x)\Delta\Gamma_m(n,x) = e^{S_{flat}}$ is the total number of quantum states in $\mathcal{R}$ for flat spacetime, and the integral is over $2\times(D-1)$ dimensions and comes from going to the continuous description: $\sum_n \sum_x F(n,x) = \int dn^a dx^i F(n,x)$, F function. These relations exhibit a 3-fold connection among S, S_{flat} and ρ, with the latter consistently understood as ratio of numbers of states.

Acknowledgements. I thank Thanu Padmanabhan for remarks on an earlier version.

---

[1] T. Padmanabhan, "Distribution function of the atoms of spacetime and the nature of gravity", Entropy **17** (2015) 7420, arXiv:1508.06286.

[2] T. Padmanabhan, "Gravity and/is thermodynamics", Current Science **109** (2015) 2236, arXiv:1512.06546.

[3] T. Padmanabhan, "Exploring the nature of gravity", arXiv:1602.01474 (2016).

[4] T. Padmanabhan, "The atoms of space, gravity and the cosmological constant", arXiv:1603.08658 (2016).

[5] D. Kothawala, "Minimal length and small scale structure of spacetime", Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) 104029, arXiv:1307.5618.

[6] D.J. Stargen, D. Kothawala, "Small scale structure of spacetime: van Vleck determinant and equi-geodesic surfaces", Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) 024046, arXiv:1503.03793.

[7] S.M. Christensen, "Vacuum expectation value of the stress tensor in an arbitrary curved background: The covariant point-separation method", Phys. Rev. D **14** (1976) 2490.

[8] E. Poisson, A. Pound, I. Vega, "The motion of point particles in curved spacetime", Liv. Rev. Rel. **14** (2011) 7, arXiv:1102.0529.

[9] T. Padmanabhan, S. Chakraborty, D. Kothawala, "Renormalized spacetime is two-dimensional at the Planck scale", arXiv:1507.05669 (2015).

[10] D. Kothawala, "Intrinsic and extrinsic curvatures in Finsler*esque* spaces", Gen. Rel. Grav. **46** (2014) 1836, arXiv:1406.2672.

[11] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz and L.P. Pitaevskij, *Statistical physics* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1980).